## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-20 have been rejected.

Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 15-20 have been amended as set forth herein.

Claims 1-20 remain pending in this application.

Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. The Applicants have amended Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 15-20 as set forth herein. These amendments add no new matter and are fully supported by the originally-filed Specification. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the amendments to the claims be entered.

## I. CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-5 and 7-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,590,350 to *Guttag, et al.*, hereinafter "*Guttag*". This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A prior art reference anticipates the claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. §102 only if every element of a claimed invention is identically shown in that single reference, arranged as they are in the claims. MPEP § 2131, p. 2100-67 (8<sup>th</sup> ed., rev. 5, August 2006) (*citing In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 832, 15 U.S.P.Q.2d 1566, 1567 (Fed. Cir. 1990)). Anticipation is only shown where each and every limitation of the claimed invention is found in a single prior art reference. *Id.* (*citing Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987)).

DOCKET NO. 03-LJ-038 (STMI01-03038) SERIAL NO. 10/749,472

PATENT

First, Claim 1 of the present application currently requires:

A method for supporting software pipelining, comprising:

receiving a shift mask signal having information on a shifting region of a register

file:

receiving a shift signal to trigger a shift;

identifying a shifting register queue based on the shift mask signal, wherein the

shifting register queue comprises a plurality of queue registers; and

shifting the contents of the queue registers based on the shift signal. (emphasis

added).

Guttag, on the other hand, is narrowly directed to a data processing apparatus for computer

graphics having three input arithmetic logic unit that form a multi-bit digital signal representing a

combination of first, second and third input signals selected by a function signal. Guttag, column 3,

lines 54-60 and column 5, lines 18-25. A barrel rotator left rotates input data an amount

corresponding to a rotate control signal supplies one input signal to the three input arithmetic logic

unit. Id. at column 5, lines 25-35. At most, Guttag teaches using a C-input of the arithmetic logic

unit 230 as a mask or merging control. *Id.* at column 61, lines 1-7.

Guttag, however, fails to teach or disclose, for example, a shift mask signal having

information on a shifting region of a register file, as currently required by independent Claim 1 and

its dependents. In addition, Guttag fails to teach or disclose, for example, identifying a shifting

register queue based on the shift mask signal, wherein the shifting register queue comprises a

plurality of queue registers, as also currently required by Claim 1 and its dependents.

Similarly, independent Claim 7 of the present application currently requires:

- 8 -

DOCKET NO. 03-LJ-038 (STMI01-03038) SERIAL NO. 10/749,472

**PATENT** 

A system for supporting software pipelining comprising:

a register file comprising:

a plurality of queue registers forming a shifting register queue based on a shift mask signal having information on a shifting region of the register file; and at least one non-queue register located between two queue registers.

(emphasis added).

Guttag, however, fails to teach or disclose, for example, a shifting register queue based on a shift mask signal having information on a shifting region of the register file, as currently required by

Claim 7 and its dependents.

Similar arguments exist for independent Claim 13 and its dependents. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the §102 rejection with respect to these claims.

II. CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103

Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Guttag*. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

In ex parte examination of patent applications, the Patent Office bears the burden of

establishing a prima facie case of obviousness. MPEP §2142, p. 2100-125 (8th ed. rev. 5, August

2006). Absent such a prima facie case, the applicant is under no obligation to produce evidence of

nonobviousness. Id. To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be

met: Id. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in

the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to

combine reference teachings. Id. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Id.

- 9 -

DOCKET NO. 03-LJ-038 (STMI01-03038) SERIAL NO. 10/749,472

PATENT

Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim

limitations. Id. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable

expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure.

Id.

Claim 6 depends from allowable Claim 1 and thus is also allowable as shown above.

Moreover, Guttag dies nit teaching using 0's to identify bits. Moreover, there is no suggestion or

motivation within Guttag to prompt one of ordinary skill to selectively combine discrete elements

from Guttag and then seek out still others as required by Claim 6.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the §103 rejection

with respect to Claim 6.

- 10 -

DOCKET NO. 03-LJ-038 (STMI01-03038) SERIAL NO. 10/749,472 **PATENT** 

## **CONCLUSION**

As a result of the foregoing, the Applicants assert that the remaining claims in the Application are in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests that this Application be passed to issue.

If any issues arise, or if the Examiner has any suggestions for expediting allowance of this Application, the Applicants respectfully invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at wmunck@munckbutrus.com.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNCK BUTRUS P.C.

Date: <u>April 3, 2007</u>

Registration No. 39,308

P.O. Box 802432 Dallas, Texas 75380

(972) 628-3600 (main number)

(972) 628-3616 (fax)

E-mail: wmunck@munckbutrus.com